	Case 2:07-cv-01679-RSL Docume	ent 15 Filed 01/15/08 Page 1 of 2
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
7	WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE	
8	JOSEPH ANDREW HYLKEMA,	
9	Plaintiff,	
10	v.	Case No. C07-1679RSL
11	PALISADES COLLECTION, LLC, et al.,	ORDER GRANTING DEFENDANTS' MOTION
12	Defendants.	TO AMEND
13		
14		
15	This matter comes before the Court on defendants' motion to amend their	
16	counterclaim for attorney's fees and costs under 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(3). Defendants seek	
17	to add additional support for their counterclaim that plaintiff has brought this action in	
18	bad faith and for the purpose of harassment.	
19	Leave to amend "shall be freely given when justice so requires." Fed. R. Civ. P.	
20	15(a). The Court considers four factors in deciding whether to grant leave to amend:	
21	'bad faith, undue delay, prejudice to the opposing party, and the futility of amendment."	
22	Kaplan v. Rose, 49 F.3d 1363, 1370 (9th Cir. 1994). Plaintiff argues that defendants'	
23	proposed amendment would be futile. A proposed amendment is futile if it could be	
24	defeated by a motion to dismiss or if the party cannot prevail on the merits. See, e.g.,	
2526	ORDER GRANTING MOTION TO AMEND - 1	

Smith v. Commanding Officer, 555 F.2d 234, 235 (9th Cir. 1977). The Court does not find that the proposed amendment would be futile. To the contrary, there appears to be evidence to support defendants' contention that plaintiff has brought this action in bad faith and for the purpose of harassment. Accordingly, defendants' motion to amend their counterclaim (Dkt. #9) is GRANTED. Consistent with this order, defendants may file an amended answer, affirmative defenses, and counterclaim in the docket within ten days of the date of this order. DATED this 15th day of January, 2008. United States District Judge ORDER GRANTING MOTION TO AMEND - 2